UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner, V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  January H. GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	1		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner, V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung M. Warden, GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	1		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  10 11 FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner, 12 V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  16 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. 19 The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. 11 The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  12 DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner, V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  January H.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	3		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner,  V. GORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	4		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  Case No. ED CV 17-01468 GW (RAO) Petitioner, V. ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. Pherough the Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	5		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner, V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jang H.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	6		
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner,  V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  January M. GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	7		
FERNANDO M. ARESTA,  Petitioner,  V.  SHAWN HATTON, Warden,  Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung J. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FERNANDO M. ARESTA, Petitioner,  V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung M. GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Petitioner,  V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung L.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	10		
V. SHAWN HATTON, Warden, Respondent.  Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung J. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	11	FERNANDO M. ARESTA,	Case No. ED CV 17-01468 GW (RAO)
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung J. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	12	Petitioner,	
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected. The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung J. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	13	V.	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung M. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	14	SHAWN HATTON, Warden,	RECOMMENDATIONS OF
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung K. W.  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	15	Respondent.	
records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung M. Wu UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	16		
The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung K. Wu  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all of the	
and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.  The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  Jung M. Wordschaffer GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	18	records and files herein, and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.	
The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	19	The Court has further engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report	
recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	20	and Recommendation issued on June 8, 2018, to which Petitioner has objected.	
denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.  DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	21	The Court hereby accepts and adopts the findings, conclusions, and	
DATED: August 22, 2018  Second Final March 1997  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	22	recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS ORDERED that the Petition is	
DATED: August 22, 2018  GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	23	denied, and Judgment shall be entered dismissing this action with prejudice.	
26 GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	24		
GEORGE H. WU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	25	DATED: August 22, 2018	George N. W.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	26		
28	27		
	28		